

Governing Board

Thursday, October 23, 2014, 6:00 P.M.
Talons Cove Golf Course
2220 South Talons Cove Drive, Lehi, Utah

ATTENDEES:

Chair/Mayor Bert Wilson, Lehi City Mayor James Hadfield, American Fork City Mr. Reed Price, Utah Lake Commission Commissioner Larry Ellertson, Utah County Commissioner Doug Witney, Utah County Mayor John Curtis, Provo City Mayor Jeff Acerson, Lindon City Mayor Mark Thompson, Highland City Mayor Randy Farnworth, Vineyard City Mayor Hal Shelley, Elk Ridge Mayor Rick Moore, Payson Mayor Christopher Pengra, Eagle Mountain Mayor Lewis Marchant, Kamas Mayor Kirk Hunsaker, Santaquin Mayor Howard Anderson, Cedar Fort Representative LaVar Christensen, Utah State Legislature

Representative Jacob Anderegg, Utah State Legislature

Representative Dana Layton, Utah State Legislature

Representative David Lifferth, Utah State Legislature

Representative Brian Green, Utah State Legislature

Representative Kay Christofferson, Utah State Legislature Representative Mike McKell, Utah State Legislature

Senator Deidre Henderson, Utah State Senate Senator Curtis Bramble, Utah State Senate Senator Ralph Okerlund, Utah State Senate Councilman Kim Hancock, Payson City Councilman Jonathan Reid, Mapleton City Councilman David Hathaway, Santaquin City Councilwoman Rebecca Call, Saratoga Springs Councilman Kendall Crittenden, Wasatch County Mr. Gene Shawcroft, Central Utah Water Conservancy District

Mr. Tyler Murdock, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands

Mr. Brian Cottam, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire & State Lands

Mr. Walt Baker, Utah Department of Environmental Quality

Mr. Chris Keleher, Dept. of Natural Resources

Mr. Henry Maddux, Dept. of Natural Resources

Mr. Robyn Pearson, Dept. of Natural Resources

Mr. Shawn Seager, MAG

Mr. Nan Kuhn, MAG

Mr. Steve Johnson, MAG

Mr. Andrew Jackson, MAG

Mr. Mike Mills, June Sucker Recover Implementation Program

Mr. Joel Racker, PAG

Mr. Greg Beckstrom, Provo

1. Welcome

Mr. Reed Price welcomed everyone to the meeting at 6:05 pm.

2. Report from Reed Price, Executive Director

Mr. Price introduced himself as the Executive Director of the Utah Lake Commission. He thanked Talon's Cove, Ms. Rebecca Call, and the ULC staff for helping with the event. He thanked those in attendance including senators and representatives from Utah, South Salt Lake, and Wasatch and Summit Counties, as well as members of the Natural Resource Appropriations Committee. Following the dinner and presentation, the MAG Executive Council will hold their meeting.

Mr. Price presented a short video clip and some facts about Utah Lake. The population of Utah County is just over 500,000. The lake covers over 100,000 acres when full. The average depth of Utah Lake is 9' deep and has an elevation of 4489'.

History: In 2004, Utah County mayors began discussing Utah Lake wanting to help it reach its full potential. They studied other organizations that manage similar resources and decided to form the Utah Lake Commission. In 2007, Concurrent Resolution #1 was passed by the legislature and signed by Governor Huntsman on March 9, 2007. The first official meeting of the Utah lake Commission was held on April 19, 2007.

The ULC membership is made up of 18 local municipal governments, the Central Utah Water Conservancy District, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Environmental Quality, FFSL, and the State Legislature.

Utah Lake Master Plan: In 2008, they began writing the Utah Lake Master Plan. It focuses on (1) land use/shoreline protection, (2) transportation (3) natural resources (4) recreation (5) physical facilities. It was adopted on June 26, 2009. It serves as a resource guide to the municipalities and doubles as the comprehensive management plan for FFSL. It includes 18 high priority goals and 13 medium priority goals.

Frequently Asked Questions: Mr. Price explained how Utah and the U.S. Government disputed ownership of Utah Lake between the 70's and early 80's. When Utah became a state, they believed the lake belonged to them under the Equal Footing Doctrine. The federal government believed they controlled sovereign bodies of water including Utah Lake. This dispute went to the Supreme Court. The court ruled the lake belonged to the Utah because of the Equal Footing Doctrine, but they didn't identify boundaries. Nearly all boundary disputes have been settled since then.

Mr. Price explained compromise elevation and displayed an image of a compromise elevation monument.

Lake Level: Lake level fluctuation is part of its natural cycle. The lake is currently about 4.5' below compromise elevation, so is on average 4.5' deep. It is normally 9' deep. Boat harbors are currently 2' deep or less, but are normally 6.5' deep. He then displayed a graph to show elevation levels from 1884 to 2006. Heavy snowfall and dredging can help with lake levels. The Master Plan allows for dredging of boat harbors and encourages site-specific enhancements to achieve the goals of the Master Plan.

Mr. Lifferth said he heard harbors are deeper than the lake, causing boaters to get stuck. Mr. Price assumed it was the Saratoga Springs Harbor. Ms. Call said when harbors are dredged with a U.S. Army Corp permit, these problems do not happen. The Saratoga Springs Public Marina has a 2' drop off at the edge of the ramp due to boaters turning on their props at full blast, which disturbs the silt below.

Senator Bramble asked two questions about dredging the lake. 1. Where does the money come from? 2. With such a low lake level, how will dredging the harbors help boaters? Mr. Price said sailors are able to enjoy the lake if they can get out of the harbors and into deeper water. It was stated that there is a restricted account with FFSL that has funds received from use of sovereign lands that is intended for improvements to sovereign lands for projects such as dredging. Mr. Price said it is the

responsibility of the state to manage the lake bed and to dredge on behalf of the citizens. Ms. Call said all of the marinas are on sovereign land.

Mr. Price said dredging the lake would deepen it, probably make it clearer, and reduce evaporation. The greatest issues with dredging the lake include cost, impact on recreation, difficulty with current laws, and ownership. Representative Christensen asked if studies had been done by experts to determine the cost of widespread dredging, including the recreational and natural benefits. He explained how California successfully dredged one of their natural resources. Mr. Price said the ULC has not devoted any resources to determine the cost, but recognizes the need in limited areas. Ms. Call said Utah Lake is the third largest fresh water body in the western United States, and extensive dredging would be cost prohibitive.

Bridge: Several years ago, a private company planned to build a toll bridge across Utah Lake. An application was submitted to FFSL, but was withdrawn for failure to provide FFSL with required information. Ms. Call said the legislature created a process for this type of construction because of the initial application; this process is in place for future interests. Mr. Price said the ULC and MAG do not include a bridge crossing on their vision plan.

Water Quality: Mr. Price explained that Utah Lake is highly eutrophic; which means it is rich in nutrients, particularly phosphorus and nitrogen. Utah Lake has naturally occurring phosphorus and nitrogen, but it is increased by incoming wastewater treatment plants, stormwater discharge, and agricultural runoff. He displayed an image the blue-green algae, Cyanobacteria, which has been mentioned in recent news reports. This toxin can have a negative impact on humans and pets, and has bloomed at Utah Lake in the past. It was asked if the toxins disperse over time. Mr. Price said the toxins break down after being stirred up. It was also asked if it is toxic to the fish in the area. Mr. Price said fish typically do not swim in algae bloom areas because of low oxygen levels.

DWQ Action: The DWQ recently approved a technology-based standard on municipal wastewater treatment plants at 1.0 mg/L Phosphorus discharge. They are considering a decrease to as low as .05 mg/L at some point in the future. More research is needed.

Carp Removal: Carp were introduced to Utah Lake in the late 1800s by the government as a source of protein. Carp make up 90% of the biomass, and have decimated the ecosystem of the lake. The June Sucker Recovery Implementation Program is required by federal law to help with the recovery of the June Sucker. In early 2000, studies proved carp are the main reason the June sucker is unable to recover. Carp removal would also help with regrowth of underwater vegetation and water clarification. He played a short video clip to show how carp are removed from Utah Lake.

Phragmites: Mr. Price said the ULC has a 10 year plan to treat and remove approximately 6000 acres of phragmites growing around Utah Lake. Funding comes primarily through grants from the Watershed Restoration Initiative, and the Invasive Species Mitigation Fund. Machines and labor for the removal are provided by Utah County, ULC, and the CUWCD. He explained the four step process to remove phragmites and showed some before/after photos and a video of their efforts.

Lake Access: Mr. Price explained that much of the Utah Lake shoreline is private, but there are 30 legal access points which are being prioritized for improvements and maintenance. Other improvements include the development of a beach, a nature center, and an Adopt-a-Shoreline program. FFSL is responding to a request for private boat docks at Utah Lake. An amendment to allow community boat docks is being considered. Mr. Joel Racker asked if the lake is deep enough at the shore line to accommodate a boat dock. Mr. Price said there are places that are deep enough.

Mr. Price asked those in attendance to embrace the lake and help the ULC take care of it. He also asked them to let the community know what a valuable resource it is. He asked if there were any questions.

Someone asked about the history of phragmites. Mr. Price said phragmites growth is a problem all over the United States, and explained that there are many theories about of how it was established.

APPROVED- January 29, 2015

Someone asked if water from Utah Lake is used primarily for drinking water in SL County. Mr. Price asked Mr. Gene Shawcroft to respond. Mr. Shawcroft said Jordan Valley used it for drinking water a few years ago, but complaints caused them to discontinue its use. The water is only used for irrigation purposes now.

Ms. Call said it was her understanding that CUWCD owned 27% of the water in Utah Lake. Mr. Shawcroft was uncertain of the percent, but he said they use the water to balance the demands of Salt Lake County.

Someone asked if improvements have been seen at Utah Lake since carp removal began. Mr. Price said anecdotal evidence shows that the lake is improving. Work is being done to better quantify the improvement.

A request for introductions was made. Mr. Price briefly introduced senators, representatives, city council members, mayors, county commissioners, MAG members, and state agencies.

Ms. Call thanked everyone for coming and for funding the ULC. She said ULC money comes from agencies such as FFSL, and direct appropriation from the legislature. She explained that local Governing Board representatives pay membership fees based on population and shoreline to cover ULC operational expenses.

MAG Introduction

Mr. Andrew Jackson, planning director for the Mountainland Association of Governments, explained the role of MAG and explained a few of their current needs to the legislators.

4. Adjourn.

The meeting adjourned at 7:25 pm.