



Governing Board

Thursday, January 24, 2013, 7:30 A.M.
Historic Utah County Courthouse, Ballroom, 3rd Floor
51 South University Avenue, Provo, Utah

ATTENDEES:

Chairman/Mayor Jim Dain, Lindon City
Greg Beckstrom, Provo City
Councilman Dean Olsen, Springville
Mike Mills, JSRIP, CUP
Councilwoman Rebecca Call, Saratoga Springs City
Councilman Ray Walker, Woodland Hills Town
Commissioner Larry Ellertson, Utah County
Dick Buehler, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and
State Lands (FFSL)
Representative Mike McKell, Utah State Legislature
Reed Price, Utah Lake Commission (ULC)

INTERESTED PARTIES / VISITORS

Chris Keleher, Technical Committee Chairman
Aaron Eagar, Utah County
Darrell Cook, Utah Department of Agriculture and Food
(UDAF)
Ron Madson, Lindon Boat Harbor
Val Hale, Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce
Garrick Hall, Utah Farm Bureau
Bob Trombly, Provo City
Jeremy Sorensen, Strawberry Water Users
Matt Pottenger, Saratoga Springs
Peter Anderson, Orem/Provo
Karen Nichols, HDR Engineering
Darryl Alder, Boy Scouts of America

ABSENT:

American Fork City, Lehi City, Mapleton City, Orem City, Santaquin City, Vineyard Town, Department of Natural Resources, and Utah Department of Environmental Quality.

1 **1. Welcome and call to order.**

2 Chairman, Mayor Jim Dain called the meeting to order at 7:47 a.m., noting a quorum was present. He welcomed
3 members of the Governing Board, municipal leaders, and public visitors. Ms. Chris Finlinson and Mayor John Curtis
4 were excused, and Mr. Mike Mills and Mr. Greg Beckstrom, respectively, were welcomed as alternates. Also excused
5 were Mayor James Hadfield of American Fork, Mayor Bert Wilson of Lehi, and Mr. Walt Baker with the Department of
6 Environmental Quality. He welcomed Representative Mike McKell as a new member to the Governing Board. New
7 legislative/board member, Senator Deidre Henderson, was excused due to a prior commitment. Poor weather
8 probably prevented others from attending.
9

10 **2. Review and approve the Utah Lake Technical Committee minutes from meeting of October 25, 2012.**

11 Mayor Dain asked for discussion, comments, or corrections for the minutes of the meeting held October 25,
12 2012. Ms. Rebecca Call noted on page 8, line four the words "should be done" should be added at the end of the
13 sentence. It was motioned by Ms. Call to approve the minutes of October 25, 2012, as corrected; and it was
14 seconded by Commissioner Larry Ellertson. The motion carried and the minutes were unanimously approved.
15

16 **3. Review and approve the monthly financial report of the Commission.**

17 Mr. Price gave the August and September 2012 monthly financial reports:

1 **October:** The financial report dated October 31, 2012, shows 66.7 percent of the fiscal year remaining. The
 2 Zions checking account balance was \$8,065.69; the money market account balance was \$24,536.42; and the Utah
 3 Public Treasurers Investment Fund balance was \$291,456.60. The money market account balance received a rate of
 4 return at 0.33 percent, and the PTIF received a return of 0.78 percent. There were three transfers to checking for
 5 \$8,000 on October 3, \$16,000 on October 17, and \$6,500 on October 31, 2012. Interest earned in October was
 6 \$201.66, bringing year-to-date interest earned to \$730.50. The expenses for the month are listed in the middle
 7 totaling \$23,858.13. The General Fund Budget Report is listed at the bottom, showing percents left in each of the
 8 accounts. An overall General Fund balance of \$188,879.45, showed 73 percent of the budget remaining.

9 Councilman Ray Walker asked if the trailer purchase was in October and the cost was what was expected. Mr.
 10 Price said yes. The Land Tamer was bought prior, and the transport trailer was delivered in October.

11 **November:** The financial report dated November 30, 2012, shows 58.3 percent of the fiscal year remaining. The
 12 Zions checking account balance was \$1,783.32; the money market account balance was \$24,542.45; and the Utah
 13 Public Treasurers Investment Fund balance was \$277,626.87. The money market account balance received a rate of
 14 return at 0.30 percent, and the PTIF received a return of 0.72 percent. There were two transfers to checking for
 15 \$8,000 on November 14, and \$6,000 on November 28, 2012. Interest earned in November was \$170.27, bringing
 16 year-to-date interest earned to \$906.80. The expenses for the month are listed in the middle totaling \$20,301.06.
 17 The General Fund Budget Report is listed at the bottom and shows percents left in each of the accounts. An overall
 18 General Fund balance of \$168,578.39, showed 65 percent of the budget remaining.

19 **December:** The financial report dated December 31, 2012, shows 50 percent of the fiscal year remaining. The
 20 Zions checking account balance was \$1,388.26; the money market account balance was \$24,548.18; and the Utah
 21 Public Treasurers Investment Fund balance was \$263,286.25. The money market account balance received a rate of
 22 return at 0.28 percent, and the PTIF received a return of 0.69 percent. There were two transfers to checking for
 23 \$7,000 on December 12, and \$7,500 on December 26, 2012. Interest earned in December was \$165.11, bringing
 24 year-to-date interest earned to \$1,071.91. The General Fund Budget Report was listed, and show percentages left in
 25 each of the accounts totaling \$14,876.37. An overall General Fund balance of \$153,702.02, showed 59 percent of the
 26 budget remaining.

27 Ms. Call asked when insurance premiums were due. Mr. Price said the Commission pays the public officials
 28 insurance premiums at the beginning of the fiscal year and it was paid in full in July. She asked what insurance was
 29 paid in December. Mr. Price said it was a Public Treasurer's bond, covering him as a treasurer. He budgeted \$4,000
 30 in the account to cover any increase in premiums.

31 She said interest rate under the money market had gone down, and wondered if other options for higher interest
 32 were available. Mr. Price explained when the account was established, the majority of the money would be kept in
 33 the state-run fund as other banks limited the amount insured by FDIC. About \$20,000 was kept in the account in case
 34 a quick transfer to the checking account was needed.

35 Mayor Dain asked if there were other questions on the financial reports. There were none. Commissioner
 36 Ellertson moved the financial reports for October, November, and December 2012 be approved as presented; it was
 37 seconded by Ms. Call. The motion carried and voting was unanimous.

- 38 • Mayor Dain needed to leave early in the meeting. With Vice-Chair, Mayor Bert Wilson absent, a Chairman Pro -
 39 Tem needed to be appointed by a majority vote according to by-laws. He recommended Ms. Rebecca Call.
 40 Commissioner Ellertson motioned to approve Ms. Rebecca Call as the Chairman Pro-Tem; it was seconded by Mr.
 41 Walker. Voting was unanimously in favor. Ms. Call would take over the duties of the chair when Mayor Dain left
 42 the meeting.

44 **4. Conduct annual election of Executive Committee members.**

45 Mr. Price explained the by-laws of the Inter-Local Agreement state the Executive Committee membership should
 46 be evaluated at the first meeting of the calendar year. The Committee consists of a core group of three specified
 47 members, Chair, Vice Chair, and a member of the Department of Natural Resources, and four at-large members who
 48 sit on the Governing Board comprising no more than seven members. Meetings are generally held the Thursday
 49 before Governing Board. The Committee strategizes, discusses issues the Commission is facing, figures the best

1 direction in moving forward, and makes recommendations to the general Governing Board. The Committee
2 meetings help Mr. Price, as Executive Director, focus, direct, and sift through the issues the Commission faces.

3 All present Executive Committee members felt comfortable remaining, but if other members of the Governing
4 Board were interested, some current members would step down. Councilwoman Call was interested in serving.
5 Mayor Dain asked who would step aside, and Mr. Price said Mayor Curtis indicated he would be willing to step down.
6 and Mr. Mills said Ms. Chris Finlinson could as well. Mr. Olsen and Commissioner Ellertson preferred to stay.
7 Commissioner Ellertson asked if the number on the Committee was firm. Mr. Price said yes, seven members are
8 allowed according to by-laws. If there is no quorum, other members could let Mr. Price know, so he can arrange to
9 have them attend.

10 Mr. Greg Beckstrom motioned to appoint and approve the four at-large members of the Executive Committee for
11 2013 to include Commissioner Larry Ellertson, Councilman Dean Olsen, Ms. Chris Finlinson, and Councilwoman
12 Rebecca Call to replace Mayor John Curtis; it was seconded by Mr. Walker. The voting was unanimous.

13 14 **5. Review and consider re-approval of members of the Public Advisory Group.**

15 Mr. Price said the Public Advisory Group (PAG) was formed to allow public entities a chance to participate and
16 have a voice in the activities of the Commission. It is comprised of organizations with an interest in Utah Lake. As
17 required by the by-laws, members are to be reapproved annually at the first calendar meeting. PAG meets on a
18 quarterly basis with Mr. Price leading and informing them of the Commission's activities. All present members are
19 involved, engaged, and provide valuable insight on various issues and goals. If the representative is unable to attend
20 meetings, they communicate that to Mr. Price. Present members include the Bonneville School of Sailing and
21 Seamanship, Saratoga Springs Owners Association, Sierra Club, Utah County Association of Realtors, Utah County
22 Farm Bureau, Utah Farm Bureau Federation, Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce, Utah Valley Convention & Visitors
23 Bureau, Utah Valley Earth Forum, Utah Water Ski Club, and Utah Waterfowl.

24 Commissioner Ellertson moved the current Public Advisory Group members be approved for 2013 as presented;
25 it was seconded by Ms. Call. The motion carried and voting was unanimous. Mayor Dain left the meeting at this
26 point. No further action would be taken by the board. The remaining agenda items were for informational purposes.
27

28 **6. Report from the Technical Committee.**

29 Chairman Chris Keleher, Department of Natural Resources, reported the Technical Committee has not met for
30 several months, but has strategized their plans for 2013. Creating the Master Plan took a lot of work and focus from
31 all the committee members. The plan is without a secure funding source and thus makes it difficult to develop
32 strategies. Present funding available to Utah Lake Commission is comprised of member contributions to cover costs
33 of administration, small projects, and acquisitions. Grants acquired demonstrate an ability to accomplish various
34 activities with limited funding and proves what can be done with the Commission. The Executive Committee and
35 Governing Board have discussed different mechanisms to establish reliable long-term funding sources.

36 While the Governing Board and Executive Committee work through the process of various funding sources,
37 concurrently the Technical Committee will be looking at the Master Plan, sorting through the objectives, putting
38 them into similar categories, and establishing subcommittees with expertise in the target areas. Outside help may
39 also be solicited. Necessary tasks to achieve each objective in the Master Plan will be classified, arranged in a logical
40 sequence for implementation, identify a responsible party for the specific tasks, calculate costs for achievement, and
41 total costs when complete. These will be prioritized with an adaptable implementation strategy that can be modified
42 over time. Realistic costs will be used as justification to show a need for funding and demonstrate to the public the
43 specific benefits achieved if the Commission can establish a long-term funding source. This process will take four to
44 six months to accomplish and the progress will be reported.

45 Ms. Call, acting as Chair, expressed the Board's gratitude to each of the members of the Committee a job well
46 done in providing the information used to make the decisions. She called for questions. Mr. Beckstrom asked if
47 appropriations were being pursued in 2013 legislative session. Mr. Price stated the Board directed him to begin
48 working with state legislators to seek appropriations for the carp processing facility and an appropriation meeting is
49 being sought this legislative session. Mr. Keleher referred to all the general projects. The Commission wants to

1 identify specific projects/goals needing to occur; the price tag associated with it, and determines what long-term
2 funding would be needed over the next ten years to accomplish all the projects.

3 Mr. Beckstrom asked if the \$3 million appropriation in the document was being pursued in the current session.
4 Mr. Price confirmed his understanding. Representative Mike McKell asked if it was through his appropriation
5 committee. Mr. Dick Beuhler said yes, through the Natural Resources and Agricultural Acquisition Committee. Mr.
6 Price said the Commission is seeking a line-item appropriation, and Representative McKell would be the key contact.

7
8 **7. Presentation: State of the Lake by Reed Price, Executive Director.**

9 Mr. Price gave the annual State of the Lake report listing 2012's accomplishments and 2013's plans. A brief
10 history was given of the Commission. In 2004, the Council of Governments (COG), consisting of mayors, came
11 together to discuss county issues including Utah Lake. The municipal leaders asked how they could help Utah Lake
12 become a treasured resource as it was in prior years, and a study was begun. An evaluation of various commissions
13 and authorities from around the nation were studied, and it was decided to organize the group into the Utah Lake
14 Commission. In 2006, the Inter-Local Agreement was drafted and reviewed by all municipalities, and Concurrent
15 Resolution 1 was signed in the 2007 legislative session, which allowed the state to work cooperatively with the
16 municipal governments. The document was signed in March 2007 and Utah Lake Commission had its first official act
17 April 2007. Currently, there are 17 members with 12 municipalities, a special district represented by the Central Utah
18 Water Conservancy District (CUWCD), state representation from the Department of Natural Resources (DNR),
19 Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands (FFSL), and State Legislature.

20 The purposes of the Commission as outlined in the founding document are five-fold: First, is to encourage and
21 promote multiple uses of the Lake; second, to foster communication and coordination; third, to promote resource
22 utilization and protection; fourth, maintain and develop recreation access; and fifth, monitor and promote
23 responsible economic development. The five purposes were utilized during the initiation phase in the process to
24 create the Utah Lake Master Plan in February 2008. The five elements of the plan were Land Use, Shoreline
25 Protection, Transportation, Natural Resources, Recreation, and Physical Facilities. After an 18-month process, the
26 plan was adopted on June 26, 2009, and the Commission moved forward under the Master Plan.

27 The plan was divided into several different sections with various appendices. One is the stakeholder findings
28 placed in a binder with all the public input received. There is a benchmark statement of current conditions and
29 characteristics showing what Utah Lake was like during the Master Plan project. Implementation strategies were
30 incorporated and used. The Master Plan was also used as the comprehensive management plan for FFSL that has the
31 responsibility of managing the lake bed, which are state lands. An appendix showing the procedures for sovereign
32 land management is included. An appendix with a few goals recommended by the public/board members were not
33 included the plan and are acknowledged in Appendix E.

34 During the process, the goals were separated into high and medium priority goals. As Executive Director, Mr.
35 Price focuses on the 18 high priority goals that have 36 associated objectives. The 13 medium priority goals will be
36 pursued when an opportunity is presented, e.g., the nature center. The opportunity for a grant came and allowed
37 the Commission to begin looking at the possibility of building a facility near Utah Lake. The plan separates the high
38 priority goals into various tasks.

39 He itemized the accomplishments and achievements of 2012. The Land Use Regulation Policy was passed. Two
40 objectives associated were to create a model ordinance, which was accomplished in 2011. The ordinance
41 recommended a buffer, development restrictions, as well as recommending the need, plans, and standards for a lake
42 trail. In 2012, the Commission continued to work with the cities that have not yet adopted the ordinance because of
43 philosophical questions they have and are trying to address these internally before they move forward. The
44 Commission was asked to participate in the Vision 2030 Plan for Provo City to make sure Utah Lake was considered in
45 their long-range plans.

46 The next task was coordination and communication. The Commission wants to be recognized as the "go to place
47 for all things Utah Lake." ULC wants to be a resource to facilitate discussions with the public, jurisdictions, state, and
48 local municipalities and the coordination center.

49 Another task the Commission is continually involved in is issues from public or planning agencies regarding
50 transportation. Construction will be starting soon on the Westside Connector in Provo, joining the University Avenue

1 exit with Provo Center Street near the airport. The Lakeview Parkway is another corridor, connecting Provo Center
2 Street north to Orem. ULC is working with the county on long-range plans for a trail circumnavigating the lake to
3 connect sections currently built to make a continuous trail. The initial desire is to connect the Jordan River Parkway
4 trail with the Provo River Parkway Trail, essentially going from Vivian Park all the way to the county.

5 The current proposed bridge application submitted to the state by Utah Crossings, Inc. is still in the review
6 process. Mr. Leon Harward has been asked to get financial information as well as design information to the
7 Transportation Commission (UDOT) for their review. UDOT would then make a recommendation to FFSL whether or
8 not such a facility should be approved as FFSL holds the ultimate responsibility to approve the application for the
9 proposed crossing. Mr. Harward has not submitted the requested information, though a recent published newspaper
10 article indicated he planned on doing so. Until he officially submits the information, the project is in a non-
11 reviewable state. If Mr. Harward was to submit the information, the Commission is willing and ready to step forward
12 and assist FFSL/UDOT to offer input. ULC is an advisory group, without authority, but collaborates to assure the best
13 decision is made for the Lake. He asked Mr. Buehler if he had further information. Mr. Buehler said 18 months prior
14 FFSL also requested Mr. Harward provide information on the environmental aspects, and he has not submitted any.

15 The Master Plan identifies access development including improving the existing access points, acquiring
16 additional access locations, and to provide signage as a task. The lake has 29 legal access points but knowing how to
17 get to them is difficult. Some of the smaller access areas to the lake are easy to find and others are not. The goal is
18 to improve the existing legal access points to allow the public to experience the lake. Funding has not been available
19 to acquire additional land to improve other access points to make it more useable.

20 ULC is trying to educate the public about the value and history of Utah Lake, by reaching out, and helping them
21 understand what it offers to the region. The different goals include promoting public events to the region, an
22 outreach plan, promote understanding of the invasive species, aquatic nuisance species, coordinate research,
23 monitor activities, and establish a Utah Lake research facility. In 2012, the main accomplishment was helping to
24 sponsor and bring in the FLW Outdoor Bass Fishing Tournament televised on NBC in November. FLW Outdoors loved
25 how close the lake was to civilization and the mountains in the back ground. Other things were continual weekly
26 updates to the website, updating the school curriculums, sponsored field trips, the Utah Lake Festival, and Utah Lake
27 Research Group. All these are ways ULC is working towards educating the public.

28 Another task was to control phragmites. Treatment for year two was completed in Saratoga Bay in 2012. Two
29 grants were received totaling \$160,000 to begin treatment on the north and east shores. Maintenance continued on
30 the previous year's projects with the regrowth being treated. The Governing Board also co-purchased a second Land
31 Tamer to help facilitate the work.

32 In Saratoga Bay, Phragmites Removal Team (PRT) spot treated 20 acres of regrowth, fuel breaks were created,
33 and other invasive species, primarily tamarisk and Russian olive trees were removed. They are working in the bay to
34 remove the dead phragmites. With the lake frozen over, it allows PRT to get further out and not fear getting stuck in
35 the water. It is hoped the ice floes will knock the phragmites down, smashing the areas to get the biomass down as
36 has happened in the past. PRT is able to do the work when it is frozen because the machine has a lower footprint.
37 There are several winter recreational activities occurring on the lake including people riding bikes, jogging, or
38 skimming with a flying paraglider.

39 The 2013 projects/goals include finding long-term funding options for ULC projects. Some things the Board is
40 considering are looking at creating a special service district where it would be able to help make recreational
41 improvements around the lake. Also, another funding option would be an increase in wastewater discharge. It is
42 hoped the Board will consider recommending this to their municipal governments. ULC is trying to determine how to
43 engage the state in wanting to participate in the process as well because it is the state's resource. In working
44 together, ULC comes to the table with a plan to help fund some projects and how the state's citizens can benefit in
45 the long-run. The Master Plan is not just ULC's plan but is the state's management plan as well. Over the next few
46 months, the Technical Committee will be in the process of identifying specific projects and the funding needed.

47 Another priority is a carp processing facility. Mr. Beckstrom asked about the appropriation discussed at the last
48 Governing Board meeting. Mr. Price said he had approached the state legislators of Utah County seeking their
49 support for constructing a facility as well as equipment to process carp and to create a high quality fish meal out. The
50 Utah Valley Chamber of Commerce was fully supportive and recommended it be funded as one of their legislative

1 priorities. He and DNR will be working with the legislators to seek the funding necessary to see that the facility is
2 constructed and then a private industry can run and manage the facility.

3 ULC will continue phragmites removal efforts. PRT has been able to receive a grant for the past four years and it
4 is expected ULC will receive it again. Showing the progress and success in treating phragmites was needed. UDAF
5 has grant opportunities again this year, and the governor has increased his recommendation from \$1 million for the
6 invasive species mitigation funding to \$2.5 million dollars this year. UDAF uses ULC/PRT's success as an example, and
7 so PRT is confident another grant will be awarded to treat the south end of Utah Lake.

8 The process of identifying what is wanted in a nature center/research facility on the lake is another ULC goal. A
9 grant from the national park service provided consultation services to help in the procedure, including identifying
10 where such a facility should be placed, what it should contain, and to help find partners to come on board to fund the
11 construction and continued maintenance. It is a conceptual design that may become a reality within the next five
12 years. Without something concrete to show potential partners, it is difficult to win support, particularly financial.

13 Outreach and education will continue including field trips, Utah Lake Festival, website updates, focusing on the
14 lake trail, and water quality issues. The shoreline ordinance with different municipalities will be pursued. ULC and
15 Saratoga Springs have worked together over the last year to consider a shoreline master plan. Their city engineer will
16 approach the city council seeking support for beginning the process to create an ideal community that utilizes the
17 shoreline of the lake.

18 Ms. Call said the city council recently rezoned the north end of Saratoga Springs, where there are state lands
19 located near the marina that is currently not public, and is currently undeveloped. The city of Saratoga Springs is very
20 supportive in helping along the lakeshore. Mr. Price said he is a resource to the city, and the city staff is always very
21 responsive. The FFSL dock review process continues.

22 He commented if any City Councils would like a presentation, he would be available to explain what ULC is and
23 what it is trying to accomplish. He wants ULC to be a resource to the Board and to assure city council members are
24 engaged and excited in what the Commission is doing. The Commission needs to continue making progress, and
25 support sovereign lands boundary negotiations.

26 Transportation planning area continues with communication with UDOT and MAG as issues approach Utah Lake,
27 attending regional Transportation Committee meetings, and assisting with the bridge review as needed.

28 For public education and outreach, ULC is trying to create the demand for the lake, enhance public perception,
29 and give the citizens an opportunity to experience the lake.

30 These are the goals for the next year. It is a busy time as ULC identifies focused areas and specific projects
31 needing funding, as well as continue past successful projects such as phragmites eradication, supporting the carp
32 removal efforts, and other things. He called for questions. There were none.

33 **a. Discuss and offer feedback about the annual work plan.**

34 Ms. Call said the Board appreciated the State of the Lake presentation as it shows the involvement and
35 accomplishments, and the identification of future goals and projects.

36
37 **8. General Comments from Board Members and the Public.**

38 There were no general comments from the Board. Ms. Call updated the Board on situations from Saratoga
39 Springs. In conjunction with Splash Day, their annual celebration, the city is investigating the option of having a wake
40 board competition launching from the marina. Saratoga Springs is a very passionate about Utah Lake. Every
41 individual who lives in Saratoga Springs, has some sort of view of the lake that is an integral part of their municipality
42 and culture, and they want to implement it more into the community.

43 Ms. Call serves on the Jordan River Commission (JRC). A document is being developed showing waterways and
44 the different native species. JRC will be contacting Mr. Price to work with them as ULC is on the cutting edge with
45 removal and treatment of phragmites. JRC has an interesting management practice in how to utilize waterways, and
46 to buffer commercial and residential development, the best practices with types of species to plant, how to treat the
47 species, etc., will be shown. The document will be distributed when completed. JRC board advised working with
48 other commissions and groups who can benefit from it.

1 She called for public comments. Mr. Darrell Cook, from UDAF, commended the PRT staff for work they have
2 done on phragmites and said the amount of work completed is impressive. Also, the fishing is better now
3 phragmites have been removed. He hoped ULC/PRT would continue to get funding because of their good work.

4 Mr. Matt Pottenger, from Saratoga Springs, lives along Utah Lake shore. He represents a group of citizens who
5 want the opportunity to have private boat docks and so they support the boat dock initiative. He said the citizens
6 would be willing to abide by the same rules and regulations developed for Utah Lake, with additional ones to coincide
7 with the few characteristics of the Lake. In a 2012 meeting, DNR brought up legitimate concerns.

8 He wanted to address the concerns and benefits of docks. DNR concerns were:

9 Lakeside trail easement -- there is discrepancy on who owns the trail and it varies between locations.

10 The Old Canal – it should be filled in. It contains stagnant water, with mosquito and algae issues, it stinks in the
11 summer, and it is a health hazard.

12 Fluctuation of lake levels -- a rule could be set stating if the water level drops to a certain level, the docks need to
13 be removed. The group is proposing removable docks because of ice floes. Dragging docks out into the middle of
14 the lake as it recedes could be resolved with the stated rule for removal. If the waterline recedes a certain distance
15 from compromise water elevation, the docks would be useless and need to be removed.

16 Environmental impact on shores – the docks support aquatic life, fish, and it is a shelter for them.

17 Docks breaking away and navigational hazard – can be resolved within the rules that are developed. Private dock
18 owners will make every effort to reduce or eliminate this possibility. Every dock should have a permit number, and
19 name on it for identification.

20 Nighttime docks -- solar lights can be placed on the docks. The lights could be used at night would as a safety
21 factor for boaters in distress to come and use the docks.

22 He noted positive items about the private docks including safety, i.e., for distressed boaters, the lake is designed
23 to have ease of access and private docks fit the Master Plan, it enhances the use of the lake with upland shore
24 owners, reduces congestion at public arenas, and dock permits generate revenue for the state.

25 DNR and ULC care about revitalizing the lake, and should realize private docks would be the crowning
26 achievement in the process. What was once considered a dirty, disgusting, polluted lake, now has citizens paying
27 premium to build homes along the shoreline and requesting easy access to allow them to use the lake. Lakeside
28 owners are the best stewards and advocates of the lake and want the best for Utah Lake environment. Allowing
29 private docks on Utah Lake is another milestone achievement in the process and an integral part of the ease and
30 access mission statement on the Utah Lake Commission Master Plan. He asked that private docks be allowed on
31 Utah Lake.

32 Mr. Buehler responded DNR has been working on the private docks issue, and hopes to continue progression. A
33 couple of situations to be addressed are trail ownership issues. The attorney general will be contacting landowners
34 to discuss settlement documents including trail ownership.

35 Another problem is the trespass issues. Some landowners put in a dock without following rules, and it is not the
36 right way. DNR is working with Saratoga Springs on how many docks, the spacing, and trying to come up with a
37 reasonable approach. DNR is not going to disallow docks. Utah Lake is a public resource, and to benefit private
38 landowners is something to take into consideration. He reiterated there are trail and ownership issues needing
39 resolution according to the settlement documents before the dock situation is addressed. Mr. Pottenger
40 acknowledged DNR's efforts.

41
42 **9. Confirm the next meeting of the Governing Board to be held on Thursday, February 28, 2013 at 7:30 a.m.**

43 Ms. Call confirmed the next meeting is scheduled at the Provo City Library, Room 201, on Thursday, February 28,
44 2013 at 7:30 a.m.

45
46 **10. Adjourn.**

47 Ms. Call adjourned the meeting at 9:09 a.m.