

APPROVED  
January 28, 2010



Governing Board  
Thursday, November 19, 7:30 A.M.  
Historic Utah County Courthouse, Ballroom, Suite 319  
51 South University Avenue, Provo, Utah

**ATTENDEES:**

Councilman Mark Atwood, Pleasant Grove City  
Mayor Lewis K. Billings, Provo City  
Don Blohm, Highland City  
Dick Buehler, Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and  
State Lands  
Representative Stephen Clark, Utah State  
Legislature  
Councilman Mike Cobia, Mapleton City  
Mayor Jim Dain, Lindon City  
Commissioner Larry Ellertson, Utah County  
Chris Finlinson, Central Utah Water  
Conservancy District  
Mayor Howard H. Johnson, Lehi City  
Councilman David Lifferth, Eagle Mountain City  
Councilman James Linford, Santaquin City  
Representative Mike Morley, Utah State  
Legislature

**ATTENDEES:**

Councilman Dean F. Olsen, Springville City  
Mayor Timothy Parker, Saratoga Springs City  
Michael Styler, Utah Dept. of Natural Resources  
Mayor Heber Thompson, American Fork City  
Councilman Michael Vail, Genola Town  
Mayor Jerry Washburn, Orem City

**INTERESTED PARTIES / VISITORS**

Bruce Chesnut, Orem  
Mike Mills, JSRIP  
Rick Moore, Payson City Mayor Elect  
Stephen Schwendiman, Attorney General Office  
Bob Trombly, Provo City  
Reed Harris, JSRIP – PDD  
Randy Kaufman, FFSL  
Dave Grierson, FFSL

**ABSENT:**

Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Pleasant Grove, Woodland Hills, and Vineyard.

**1. Welcome and call to order.**

Mayor Billings welcomed the Governing Board members and the public to the November meeting of the Utah Lake Commission in the Historic Utah County Courthouse. He acknowledged new faces in the audience including two newly elected officials: Payson City Mayor-elect Rick Moore and Genola Councilman-elect Craig Coleman. He expressed a desire that these men would continue to attend the meetings concerning the Utah Lake Commission.

**2. Review and approve the Utah Lake Commission minutes from September 24 and October 29, 2009.**

With the public hearing being held for the October meeting, Mayor Billings stated the minutes for the September meeting had not been approved.

*September 24, 2009 minutes* – After review by the Board, Commissioner Larry Ellertson motioned to approve the minutes and Mr. Jim Linford seconded the motion. The minutes were unanimously approved.

*October 29, 2009 minutes* – Commissioner Larry Ellertson motioned to approve the October minutes; Mr. Dick Beuhler seconded the motion. The minutes were unanimously approved.

**2. Review and approve the monthly financial report of the Commission for September and October, 2009.**

Mr. Price noted the Board had previously received copies of the September and October 2009 Financial Reports and stated he would review the figures.

*September* – Mr. Price stated 75 percent of the fiscal year remained in September. The Zion checking account balance was \$3,823.26. The Zion's money market account balance was \$328,515.53. Two transfers were made to cover expenses of \$11,000.00 and \$8,000. Interest earned in September was a meager \$316.39. Expenses for the month totaled \$16,442.99. The liability insurance coverage payment was \$3,341.00 and comes from the general fund budget account number 5100. Payment was made leaving 15 percent of the fund left however, no further expenses were expected. The general fund account balances were shown in the balance column. The Year-to-Date transactions to September were \$40,997.81 with 81 percent of the budget remaining and 75 percent of the fiscal year remaining. The Commission was under budget.

Mr. Jim Linford asked what was included in the \$20,000 consultant budget. Mr. Price explained the intent of the consultant account was two-fold including the model ordinance process and the BYU student public outreach project. In 2010 when the exact amount for the model ordinance process is known, monies may need to be transferred from the capital projects fund to cover the cost.

Mr. Don Blohm motioned to approve the September budget; seconded by Commissioner Ellertson, and the September budget was unanimously approved.

*October* – Mr. Price reported 67 percent of the fiscal year remained. The Zion checking account balance was \$1,591.34. The Zion's money market account balance was \$20,919.64. In September, the Governing Board had instructed Mr. Price to open a money market/savings account with the Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF). The balance in the new account was \$300,028.64. Two transfers of \$10,000 and \$7,000 to checking were made in October. Interest earned in October was \$292.64. The expenses listed totaled \$19,231.92. The Utah Lake Commission reimbursed the county for labor on the phragmites removal project. The funds were approved by the Governing Board to aid in leveraging grant monies earmarked to begin the pilot project of removing phragmites west of Geneva Steel. Funds came out of account number 6500 with the balance at zero, showing the account totally expended. Remaining account balances shown (with the exception of the two mentioned) were under budget based on the percentage of the year remaining. At the end of October, the Year-to-Date transactions totaled \$60,229.73 with 72 percent of the budget remaining.

Mr. David Lifferth asked Mr. Price what the UASD conference was. Mr. Price explained Utah Lake Commission had joined the Utah Association of Special Districts, which is a group of special districts. Their conference was held in Layton. UASD keeps members informed and updated with the activities of the state legislature which pertain to its members and to make sure the rules and protocol are followed. Mayor Billings expressed support for the UASD organization. He stated the conference had formerly been held in the Provo Marriott Hotel, but had outgrown that location. Commissioner Ellertson said the conference item in the October budget was shown as an advance, but by this meeting, the conference had already occurred.

Mayor Billings clarified the reason and need for the new PTIF account. He stated ULC receives its membership contributions at the beginning of the fiscal year, so they are fully funded at that time. He stated as the year progressed, the balance of funds would go down. Mayor Billings advised Mr. Price to monitor the two accounts to evaluate interest at Zion's as well as PTIF and then maximize the best interest opportunities.

Mr. Lifferth asked with the phragmites budget showing zero, if any other projects were anticipated which would use the account in the next year. Mr. Price replied in that no other projects were anticipated this fiscal year. He explained the Commission would continue to participate in additional phragmites removal projects. In

2010 the board would be asked to fund a portion of the ongoing project as they create a budget for FY2011. Commissioner Ellertson commented all accounts, with the exception of those stated, were in a better position for the remainder of the year.

Commissioner Ellertson motioned to accept the October Financial Statement; Mayor Jim Dain seconded the motion statement; and it was unanimously approved.

Mayor Billings informed the Board concerning changes with health insurance premiums for the Utah Lake Commission employees. He stated the insurance plan comes through PEHP, the health care organization under the state retirement system. The Commission has a three-year contract, and January 1, 2010 begins the final year of that commitment. The Commission was notified of an increase. This may require a small transfer before the end of the current budget year. He explained the Executive Committee had evaluated the options available and he felt good about the health coverage plan. Mr. Price further explained Carol Mauser had been able to waive insurance coverage when she was employed with the Commission. Mr. Price tried to project costs based on her anticipated departure and probable increases in the premiums, which will result in a projected \$500 shortfall. He stated the Commission would be able to cover the anticipated the \$500 from other accounts. Mayor Billings encouraged the staff to research other competitive insurance plans early in 2010.

***Review the completed financial statements of the FY09 financial review:***

Mr. Price reviewed the completed Annual Financial Review. He explained the Utah Lake Commission's annual budget falls below the \$350,000 guidelines that would require an audit, but were required to conduct a financial review. After a competitive bidding process, the contract was awarded to Squire and Company (the same firm used the prior year). Their completed report was submitted for the Commission's review. In a letter on page one, paragraph three, it states, "based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying financial statements in order for them to be in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles." Mr. Bartholomew, the same reviewer from the previous year, stated the Commission has sound accounting principles and processes, and ensure the procedures are handled in an efficient manner with the limited staffing of two. Mr. Price stated all checks are co-signed by either the chair or vice chair and purchase approvals are reviewed by either the chair or vice-chair after the expenditure. He highlighted page two with the management discussion and analysis, statements began on page six which showed total assets of \$99,528. The balance sheet found on page eight, shows a total of governmental fund balance with liability from \$111,255. He stated the Commission under spent the budget and had a general fund balance of \$75,069.00 with capital projects balance at \$30,000. Page 13 begins the notes pertaining to the basic financial statements summarizing the accounting policies, cash handling, risk management, long-term liability, funding of the Commission, retirement plans, and the transfers. The financial review for the year ending June 30, 2009 was completed and was positive. The report had been forwarded to the state auditor's office. A copy will be sent to members who were not in attendance.

Mayor Billings asked Commissioner Ellertson for his expert financial evaluation. Commissioner Ellertson stated no issues or concerns were raised in the financial statements or the review. The Commission is not a complicated organization so only an analytic review is needed to insure everything seems to be in line. He stated an audit may be requested periodically. An annual audit may be viewed as overkill because audits are an extensive procedure in terms of verifying individual assets, expenditures, balances, and other things. Auditors assume some liability and charge more. A yearly review saves the Commission money. Mayor Billings invited anyone to go through the "two folders" of the Commission and was pleased the process was simple and not complicated as some newly-organized entities make it. He said if there are questions, the Director would try to find the answers because the Commission wanted to be transparent. He complimented Mr. Price on achieving that goal and stated Mr. Price was willing to accommodate any input or suggestions offered to him. Mr. Lifferth asked with the size of the Commission the price differences between the review and audit. Mr. Price said in 2008 the estimate was \$2500 for review but this year was \$1500 showing a decrease of \$1000. He felt an audit would be an additional \$1500.

**3. Report from the Technical Committee.**

Mayor Billings complimented the Technical Committee for their expertise, their work, the projects accomplished and the amount of hours given to the Commission in review of issues and items pertaining to Utah Lake. Chairman Bruce Chesnut and Vice-Chair Greg Beckstrom were in attendance representing the Technical Committee. Mr. Chesnut reported to the Governing Board the activities of the Technical Committee.

He stated the Technical Committee reviewed the carp removal process from Utah Lake. He said the majority of the last Committee meeting involved discussion of the bridge crossing Utah Lake. The Technical Committee expressed interest in working with Forestry, Fire, and State Lands (FFSL) as members of the Inter-Disciplinary Team. Members of the Committee have already met with Mr. Dave Grierson of FFSL to discuss the organization of the Interdisciplinary Team and working with MAG. Mr. Chesnut quoted the Committee's agenda item, "Consider a motion that identifies the Committee's position on this issue." He stated there was no motion made. The long discussion pertaining to the bridge entailed a lot of questions and with possible resolutions, but they were still working through the process. The Committee expressed interest in the public's posted comments obtained through the public meetings and hearings. With detailed consideration of the comments, the Committee would continue to work through the issues and formulate a stand they will present to the Governing Board. He stated 20 people were in attendance at their meeting and so there were at least 20 varying positions on the issue. He reiterated Committee members, especially the Transportation Subcommittee, wanted to be heavily involved and a lot of active work was needed.

Mayor Billings commented the Board and Committee have an important role in this opportunity as the state looks to the Commission for input on the bridge crossing issue. He said the Technical Committee and Governing Board are aware of the state's process and time line. He stated the Governing Board and Committee would do whatever was required and be fully supportive. Mayor Billings voiced his confidence in the state's professional ability to complete the approval process. Some citizens felt the bridge crossing should require the NEPA process. He said FFSL was trying not "to be like the federal government" but were trying to move through the proposal in a timely manner and gaining valuable insight. Mr. Chesnut concurred with the Committee's willingness and cooperation to work with the state in the approval process.

Commissioner Ellertson appreciated the efforts of the Technical Committee and complimented their dedication and commitment to serve. He said the members of the Committee "do heavy lifting" on issues associated with the Lake and this nomination process. Mayor Parker also acknowledged the efforts of the Technical Committee. He stated a group called Blueprint Jordan River was using the Commission as a model for their organization with the Technical Committee as a key focus for the Jordan River group. Mayor Parker who is a member told the Jordan River organization that Utah Lake Commission's Technical Committee researches a lot of information and makes recommendations to the Commission.

**3. Report from the Executive Director.**

Mr. Reed Price, Executive Director, reported on the current Commission projects including phragmites removal, June Sucker Recovery Program with carp removal, the bridge review process, BYU student outreach project, Utah Lake Symposium, and the boundary settlement.

***Report of phragmites removal:***

Mr. Price asked Mr. Aaron Eager, who runs the Utah County Weed Management Division, to update the Governing Board on the phragmites removal process and future focus. Mr. Eager reported on the present phragmites project and the long-term plans. A historical background was given of the pilot program starting in late 2007, the Utah County Weed Board recommended that phragmites be added to the noxious weed list of Utah County. After official acceptance by the County Commissioners, it was added to the county list as a Utah County noxious weed. Utah County Weed Management Division utilized their ability to apply their best methodology, resources of testing and designing a long-term plan of attack to use around Utah Lake. In early 2008, a group was formed including the Utah County Fire Division, Utah County Weed Management Division,

APPROVED  
January 28, 2010

State Forestry, Fire, and State Lands, and various other members. Over the past 18 months, the group has given input and has been creating a master plan for phragmites removal around the lake.

As part of the process, through testing and research, it was determined a small section of 112 acres would be the pilot area. The Utah County program gleaned information from similar projects at Farmington Bay, Bear Lake, the Midwest and Florida and other states. Testing was done by Inlet Park north of Saratoga Springs boat harbor. The group experimented with different chemicals, application of chemicals at various stages of growth, cutting, and burning. After evaluation of the results, the plan for the pilot project included:

Generating fire breaks around the Lindon Boat Harbor going south to Gammon Road in Vineyard which involves about 2.5 miles of shoreline or about 112 acres of phragmites infestation. Intermixed with the phragmites is a lot of desirable vegetation including cottonwoods, bulrushes, and cat tails. Fifty-foot firebreaks were created along the entire length and more breaks about every quarter mile going out into the lake. The breaks were created so the biomass would safely burn when the fire crew conducted a burn.

The second stage of the plan was to burn off all the biomass with a controlled burn which would allow new growth to come up. When the phragmites was roughly two to three feet tall a chemical application was utilized to keep the phragmites short. The testing results showed it reduced the plants ability to create a seed head and also reduced the density, which were critical components for the program. The density caused most machinery to overheat because the tassel or seed head comes down and is too thick.

In late fall 2009 another spray with a different chemical profile was planned. This chemical profile was targeted to go to the root of the plant. Phragmites grows primarily from both seeds and rhizomes. Once the phragmites gets established, the fastest growth spread is through the roots. The second chemical would target the roots. Success was achieved in the test and also from the researched literature.

Starting in December 2008, the Utah County Weed Management Division started building the fire breaks for the 2.5 miles of shoreline. The firebreaks were made in December, January, and February. A controlled burn was planned for March or early spring 2009. A burn was attempted but the flame did not carry because of too much water in the understory and the water level too high, and so did not go as well as planned. The group moved forward following the outlined plan although it did not get rid of the biomass. They pushed the firebreaks even further going up to 100 feet. They manually sprayed over fire breaks to make sure not any of that ground was lost to any new growth. A hired airplane came and sprayed the second chemical at the end of August. A good spray was achieved from the airplane spray. In order to give the chemical time to get through the plant, another burn was planned for November. The second burn was not conducted, again due to the water level. He stated another fire is planned for the winter when the lake is frozen. In spring 2010 the group hopes to achieve a more productive burn, and then the pilot program would be on target. Follow up will continue with spot training.

A 100 percent kill of the phragmites is not guaranteed because it is very resilient. If the group is able to follow the procedures as initially outlined, with two good profiles and two good burns, the testing previously conducted should reap a 95-plus percent kill.

Funding for the pilot project came from a grant through the state which helped with purchasing the chemical and using resources. The Utah County Street Department put in over 2,000 man-hours in labor to prepare the whole site for burning, with fire crews dedicating and private individuals donating their time and resources.

Over the past 1.5 years, the pilot focused on a section which is minimal in comparison to the entire project. The pilot program enabled the group to work through all the various problems they encountered. One accomplishment of the initial testing was finding a solution for removing the phragmites. When a successful burn is achieved, the criteria would assure effectiveness and the group could evaluate the results.

Pertaining to machinery, Mr. Eager stated the phragmites group was working with John Deere, New Holland and the Utah County Motor Pool in assessing what types of machinery could get down to the lake which would have an acceptable footprint to allow the group to work through the phragmites, harvest it, and remove it off of the area. Some equipment will be tested during the winter month after the lake freezes.

The master plan for phragmites removal identifies an area of 7,000 acres of phragmites growth. It is divided into different sections, focusing on the other identified locations over the next several years.

***Report on discussion of the Utah Crossing bridge.***

Mr. Price reported over 150 people attended the public hearing meeting held on October 29, 2009. Of those who spoke, 13 were for or indifferent to the bridge project, or liked the idea of a bridge but perhaps not in that particular location and 15 people were against the bridge, which equated to almost a 50/50 split. The written comments submitted tallied six were for or indifferent, and eight that were against. Mr. Price stated people have strong feelings on both ends of the spectrum.

Another public meeting to inform the public was held in Saratoga Springs where about 50 people attended. Representative Ken Sumsion gave a historical review of the transportation needs in western Utah County, Mr. Grierson of FFSL presented the nomination process and Mr. Leon Harward presented the Utah Crossing, Inc. proposal to the public. Mr. Grierson stated a couple of people were very vocal and combative with an agenda to disrupt the meeting. Of the written comments received from the public meeting, three were for the bridge and four were against it. He stated the website has over 125 comments with a ratio of 95 against and 24 for the bridge, and several have no position.

Mr. Price stated the Interdisciplinary Team or ID Team was being formed including various professionals and experts, including representatives from Utah Lake Commission, who would review the comments. MAG is working through a model to evaluate the effects and need for such a structure. The ID Team will be reviewing, debating, and eventually arrive at a recommendation from the information evaluated. The Technical Committee will meet in December to discuss, review, and evaluate the compiled comments. The Committee will take some time before a recommendation would be presented to the Governing Board.

***Changes in Governing Board membership:***

With the election over, Mr. Price noted some people were not re-elected and others were moving on, which resulted in eight changes for the Governing Board. He said the Commission would be reaching out to the new mayors and representatives of the municipalities to bring them up to speed of what the Utah Lake Commission has done and what their focus is. As the Executive Director, he felt it important that the new members be brought up to the same level of understanding.

***BYU student outreach project:***

BYU students have been working on an outreach campaign for several months to promote the lake. He was confident in the student's abilities. The final project results will be presented on December 10, 2009 at 11:00 a.m. He invited anyone on the board who could attend to listen and evaluate the findings of the students. After the students' presentations, the Commission will determine what will be used from the students' suggestions and findings. He said a potential request may be forthcoming for more funds to implement some of the ideas they present. Mayor Billings asked for a commitment of several members to attend with Mr. Price. Those who committed to attend the demonstration included Mayor Jim Dain, Mayor Timothy Parker, Commissioner Larry Ellertson, and Mr. Dean Olsen. Mr. Price stated Greg Beckstrom and Chris Keleher from the Department of Natural Resources were already planning to attend.

***Utah Lake Symposium:***

Mr. Price reported the Utah Lake Symposium held on October 14, 2009 was very well attended. All of the presentations were available on Provo's Channel 17, except Mr. Harris' because of audio problems.

***Utah Lake boundary settlement:***

Mr. Dick Buehler reported on the progress of dispute over the Utah Lake boundaries. He stated the lawsuit was filed 12 years ago. Presiding Federal Judge Kimball appointed a special master over the case four years ago. Professor Michael Goldsmith was solicited to make recommendations pertaining to the remaining litigants, as well as hearing testimony from the various land owners and the state. Mr. Goldsmith, who was a former law

professor at BYU, passed away from Lou Gehrig's disease about two weeks prior. As far as Mr. Buehler knew, Mr. Goldsmith did not get his final recommendation to the judge. FFSL has been trying to figure out their next steps. Mike Johnson, who represents the State AG's office on the case, talked with Judge Kimball's clerk who indicated there had been communication with Professor Goldsmith a week or two before he passed away.

Mr. Goldsmith told the clerk and Judge Kimball he was almost finished with his recommendation, but it is not known if it was completed or not. Mr. Buehler felt Judge Kimball could take the information he already had and make the decision himself rather than appoint another master. Depending whether he accepts, denies or adjusts the recommendation, Judge Kimball has required FFSL to meet with each individual land owner and put in the stakes in order to survey the land for the settlement. Therefore, FFSL still needs to meet with over 30 individual land owners. Mr. Buehler said many landowners expressed interest in settling before the judge's ruling and FFSL is working with those landowners. He stated 80 percent of the dispute is settled, but was far from over, and would still take a while longer.

#### **4. Report from the June Sucker Recovery Implementation Program.**

##### ***View completed carp removal video:***

The video was narrated by Mr. Mike Mills and Mr. Reed Harris, lead personnel of the JSRIP. The video explanation showed the carp removal process including pitching nets and retrieving the harvested carp to a common location where they were either held or processed for removal. The film showed various ways of retrieving the carp from the nets and transporting them to trucks for disposal. Mr. Mills explained how the carp would be removed through the ice during the winter months. He explained if other fish, such as the June sucker were caught, the native fish were measured, tagged, studied and put back into the lake, thus minimizing the impact on the other species of fish. He stated catching other fish provided an opportunity to learn from the tagged fish and track the progress of the project. Mr. Mills expressed encouragement and hope to be able to remove 75-80 percent of the biomass of carp in the lake. When the goal is achieved, there would be changes to the lake returning it to a more eco-friendly condition with stronger aquatic vegetation, better water quality, greater visual clarity, and more recreational enjoyment for the public. He was positive the eco-system of the lake could be improved with the carp removal project.

After the video, Mr. Mills stated the pilot study was started last fall and continued through the winter. He said fishing stopped last spring and started up again in September. Since September, just over 700,000 pounds of carp were removed from the lake. JSRIP is finishing an environmental assessment which will then free up the federal money acquired for the project. JSRIP is hoping to remove about 5 million pounds over this next 12 months. Mayor Billings asked for the total pounds removed to date and Mr. Mills reported about 710,000 pounds have been removed since September 23, which was right on schedule for the goal of five million over the next year. Mayor Billings asked if the video was available to the cities and/or members of the board. Mr. Mills stated there were several available copies through Mr. Price and it was also placed on YouTube. Mayor Billings requested putting it on Provo's Channel 17 and Mr. Mills agreed to the recommendation.

#### **5. Review and approve the tentative schedule for next year.**

The Board discussed the tentative proposed schedule for 2010 with locations and dates listed. The Technical Committee would also comply with the suggested meeting times and places. Mayor Timothy Parker motioned to approve the tentative schedule and Mr. Michael Styler seconded the motion and motion carries. The new Board will ratify this schedule at their first meeting in January, 2010.

#### **6. Other Business.**

Mr. Michael Styler announced Mr. Stephen Schwendiman's retirement from the Attorney General's Office. Mr. Schwendiman was a co-author of many of founding documents and a force in organizing the Commission.

He continued to serve as legal counsel to the Commission after its formal adoption in April, 2007. Mr. Styler wanted to recognize Mr. Schwendiman and thank him for the work he has completed.

Mr. Styler also expressed gratitude paying tribute to all the mayors and representatives who did a great job in their service and helping to establish the Utah Lake Commission. He wanted to pay special tribute to Chairman Lewis Billings. He stated, "It has been Mayor Billings' vision, passion, and perseverance that have gotten this organization to where it is today." He hoped Mayor Billings would continue to work with the Commission in the future.

Commissioner Ellertson recognized each of the members who would be leaving with an individual memento for their service. The memento was a clear beveled crescent with the Utah Lake Commission logo etched in it with their name, city, and date. Commissioner Ellertson said the gift could remind each one of their participation and efforts, as well as the Board's recognition and appreciation for their service. The eight members leaving included: Mayor Lewis Billings, Mayor Heber Thompson, Mayor Howard Johnson, Mayor Timothy Parker, Mayor Toby Harding, Mr. Michael Vail, Mr. Mark Atwood, and Mr. David Lifferth.

Mr. Buehler commented he had worked on the lake for 21 years and 15 of those were with Mayor Billings. During their association, they dealt with the expansion of the airport, setting the boundaries of the airport and other projects. He said Mayor Billings has been a great advocate for the State of Utah, the citizens of the county, Provo City, and FFSL.

Mayor Billings stated there really is something special about Utah Lake. He felt the Commission and its members may be going through tough budget conversations in the future where some will want to cut their contributions. He asked those leaving to help the new representatives gain a perspective and passion to move forward with what was begun with Utah Lake.

Mr. Steven Schwendiman stated on February 1, 1974, he began working for the Utah Attorney General's Office, and had spent his entire legal career there. He represented agencies from administrative services to departmental and business regulations with 25 years in the Department of Human Resource Management working with the personnel and labor law. However, about 6.5 years ago an opportunity to represent the Department of Natural Resources came and he accepted the position. He thanked his boss, the attorney general, who allowed him to donate without charge his time and services to the Commission.

**9. Propose canceling the next Governing Board meeting currently scheduled for Thursday, December 17, 2009 at 7:30 a.m.**

Mayor Timothy Parker motioned to cancel the December meeting; seconded by Mr. Styler and unanimously approved. With the cancelled meeting, Mayor Billings announced the next scheduled meeting would take place on Thursday, January 28, 2010 at 7:30 a.m. in the ballroom of the Historic Utah County Courthouse Ballroom.

**10. Adjourn.**

Mayor Jerry Washburn motioned to adjourn with Representative Mike Morley seconding the motion, and it was unanimously approved. The meeting ended at 9:10 a.m.